

REMARKS**Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 17-18, 23-24, 26-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Bedi. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections for the reasons now following.

Independent claim 17 and dependent claims 18, 23 and 27 have been canceled. Claims 24, 26, 28, 29 and 30 have been amended such that these claims are now dependent on allowed claim 7. Hence, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is now moot.


Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 19-22 and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bedi. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections for the reasons now following.

Claims 19-20, 22 and 25 have been amended such that these claims are now dependent on allowed claim 8. Claim 21 is dependent on claim 20, which is now dependent on allowed claim 8. Hence, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is now moot.

In view of the arguments presented by applicant herein, applicant submits that the above claims are in condition for allowance and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,


Irene Bridger
Registration No. 53,914

Dated: Jan 12, 2007

Bennett Jones LLP
4500 Bankers Hall East
855 2nd Street SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 4K7
(403) 298-3661